



Data Processing and Deep Learning: a Computational Intelligence based Perspective

Fuzzy Systems

Angelo Ciaramella

Motivation

- All complex human actions are decisions based on such concepts:
 - driving and parking a car
 - financial/business decisions
 - Iaw and justice
 - giving a lecture
 - listening to the professor/tutor
- Computers need a mathematical model to express and process such complex semantics
- Concepts in classical mathematics are inadequate for such models

Fuzzy Logic

Fuzziness vs Uncertainty

- Any notation is said to be fuzzy when its meaning is not fixed by sharp boundaries
 - the statement can be applied fully, to a certain degree, or not at all
 - the gradual degrees of this membership is also called fuzziness
 - very, rather, or almost





In brief ...

- Boolean logic
 - Boole (1854)
- Classical set theory (1900)

traditional sets (boolean belonging) and set operations

Multivariate logic

- Russell (1920)
- Lukasiewicz (1930)
- Fuzzy Logic theory
 - Lotfi Asker Zadeh Zadeh (1965)
 - extension of traditional sets (non boolean belonging) and operations on the elements



Zadeh in 2004 (born 1921)



Fuzzy Logic

In brief ...

Moreover

- Type-2 fuzzy sets Zadeh (1975)
- Intuitionistics fuzzy sets Atanassov (1986)
- Rough sets Pawlack (1991)
- Neutrosophic logic Sarandache (1998)
- Granular computing Pedrycz et al. (2001)
- Explainable Fuzzy Systems ...



Fuzzy sets

- Any notation is said to be fuzzy when its meaning is not fixed by sharp boundaries
 - the statement can be applied fully, to a certain degree, or not at all
 - the gradual degrees of this membership is also called fuzziness
 - very, rather, or almost
- Sorites Paradox

If a sand dune is small, adding one grain of sand to it leaves it small. A sand dune with a single grain is small.

Hence all sand dunes are small.

Fuzzy set theory does not assume any threshold!



Uncertainty

- Uncertainty describes the probability of a welldefined proposition
 - Rolling a die will either lead to exactly 6 or not, but not something around 6
- Uncertainty is different from Imprecision
 - Uncertainty comes e.g. from randomness or subjective belief
- There are lots of non-standard calculi for handling uncertainty e.g.:
 - belief functions
 - possibility theory



Fuzzy Logic

Principle of Incompatibility

Lotfi A. Zadeh's Principle of Incompatibility

"Stated informally, the essence of this principle is that as the complexity of a system increases, our ability to make precise and yet significant statements about its behavior diminishes until a threshold is reached beyond which precision and significance (or relevance) become almost mutually exclusive characteristics."







Crisp set

Collection of distinct objects

Let X be a universe of discourse and A crisp set

Characteristic function

$$\mu_{A}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if and only if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if and only if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_A: X \to \{0,1\}$$



Fuzzy Logic

Fuzzy Sets

Fuzzy set

$$A = \left\{ \left(x, \mu_A(x) \right) \mid x \in X \right\}$$

Membership function

- generalization of the characteristic function
- grade (or degree) of membership of x in A
- the degree that x belongs to A

$$\mu_A:X\to [0,1]$$





Fuzzy Logic



Body Height of 4 Year Old Boys

Membership function

Membership degrees



Fuzzy set μ characterizing velocity of rotating hard disk

$$\begin{aligned} Supp(A) &= \{ x \in X | \ \mu_A(x) > 0 \} & [a,d] \\ Core(A) &= \{ x \in X | \ \mu_A(x) = 1 \} & [b,c] \\ Height(A) &= \frac{\sup}{x \in X} \{ \ \mu_A(x) \} \end{aligned}$$

Singleton

A fuzzy set A whose support is a single point in X

Crossover

The element x in which the membershio is 0.5

Representation of a fuzzy set

$$A = \mu_1 / x_1 + \mu_2 / x_2 + \dots + \mu_i / x_i + \dots + \mu_n / x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i / x_i$$
$$A = \int_X \mu_A(x) / x$$

Semantic of Fuzzy Sets

- Fuzzy sets are relevant in three types of information-driven tasks
 - classification and data analysis
 - decision-making problems
 - approximate reasoning
- These three tasks exploit three semantics of membership grades
 - similarity
 - preference
 - possibility



Fuzzy Logic

Traditional logic

- There are traditional, linguistic, psychological, epistemological and mathematical schools
 - Traditional logic has been founded by Aristotle (384-322 B.C.)
 - Aristotlelian logic can be seen as formal approach to human reasoning
 - It's still used today in Artificial Intelligence for knowledge representation and reasoning about knowledge



Detail of "The School of Athens" by R. Sanzio (1509) showing Plato (left) and his student Aristotle (right).



Classical Logic

Logic

- studies methods/principles of reasoning
- classical logic deals with propositions (either true or false)
- The propositional logic handles combination of logical variables
 - Key idea how to express n-ary logic functions with logic primitives
 - e.g. \neg , \land , \lor , \rightarrow
- A set of logic primitives is complete if any logic function can be composed by a finite number of these primitives
 e.g. {¬,∧,∨}, {¬,∧}, {¬,→}, {↓} (NOR), {|} (NAND)



Fuzzy Logic

Inference rules

- When a variable represented by logical formula is (thruth values)
 - true for all possible truth values
 - i.e. it is called tautology
 - false for all possible truth values
 - i.e. it is called contradiction
- Propositions are sentences expressed in some language and can be expressed in a canocinal form
 - x is P
 - x is the symbol of subject
 - P is the predicate
 - e.g. «Indianapolis is in Indiana»
- Logic operations are functions of two propositions and are defined via thruth tables
 - \blacksquare ¬ , \land , \lor , \rightarrow



Fuzzy Logic

Let X be universe of discourse (universal set)

$$A \cap B = \{x \in X \mid x \in A \land x \in B\}$$
$$A \cup B = \{x \in X \mid x \in A \lor x \in B\}$$
$$A^{c} = \{x \in X \mid x \notin A\} = \{x \in X \mid \neg (x \in A)\}$$

 $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $(x \in A) \rightarrow (x \in B)$ for all $x \in X$





Inference rules

Various forms of tautologies exist to perform deductive inference (inference rules)

 $(a \land (a \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow b$ $(\neg b \land (a \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow \neg a$ $((a \rightarrow b) \land (b \rightarrow c)) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)$ (hypothetical syllogism)

(modus ponens) (modus tollens)

Fuzzy Logic is an extension of bivalence logic





The Principle of Bivalence:

"Every proposition is either true or false." It has been formally developed by Tarski.

Łukasiewicz suggested to replace it by *The Principle of Valence:*

"Every proposition has a truth value."

Propositions can have intermediate truth value, expressed by a number from the unit interval [0, 1].



Alfred Tarski (1902-1983)



Jan Łukasiewicz (1878-1956)

The multi-valued logic is to fuzzy set theory what classical logic is to set theory



In 1965, Zadeh proposed a logic with values in [0, 1]

$$egar{a} = 1 - a,$$

 $a \wedge b = \min(a, b),$
 $a \vee b = \max(a, b).$

Membership operators

$$\neg \mu : X \to X, \neg \mu(x) = 1 - \mu(x),$$

$$\mu \land \mu' : X \to X(\mu \land \mu')(x) = \min\{\mu(x), \mu'(x)\},$$

$$\mu \lor \mu' : X \to X(\mu \lor \mu')(x) = \max\{\mu(x), \mu'(x)\},$$





Lattice

Definition

We define the following algebraic operators on $\mathcal{F}(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \wedge \mu')(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{\mu(x), \mu'(x)\} & \text{ intersection ("AND"),} \\ (\mu \lor \mu')(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{\mu(x), \mu'(x)\} & \text{ union ("OR"),} \\ \neg \mu(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - \mu(x) & \text{ complement ("NOT").} \end{aligned}$$

 μ is subset of μ' if and only if $\mu \leq \mu'.$

Theorem

 $(\mathcal{F}(X), \wedge, \vee, \neg)$ is a complete distributive lattice but no boolean algebra.





Fuzzy Set operators







- Let A, B be Fuzzy subsets of X, i.e. A, B $\in F(X)$
- Their intersection and union can be defined pointwise using

$$(A \cap B)(x) = \top (A(x), B(x))$$
 where $\top : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$
 $(A \cup B)(x) = \bot (A(x), B(x))$ where $\bot : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1].$

 \top is a *triangular norm* (*t*-*norm*) $\iff \top$ satisfies conditions T1-T4 \bot is a *triangular conorm* (*t*-*conorm*) $\iff \bot$ satisfies C1-C4





Identity Law **T1:** $\top(x, 1) = x$ $(A \cap X = A)$ **C1:** $\bot(x, 0) = x$ $(A \cup \emptyset = A)$. for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$, the following laws hold

Commutativity

T2:
$$\top(x, y) = \top(y, x)$$
 $(A \cap B = B \cap A)$,
C2: $\bot(x, y) = \bot(y, x)$ $(A \cup B = B \cup A)$.

Associativity

T3:
$$\top(x, \top(y, z)) = \top(\top(x, y), z)$$
 $(A \cap (B \cap C)) = ((A \cap B) \cap C),$
C3: $\bot(x, \bot(y, z)) = \bot(\bot(x, y), z)$ $(A \cup (B \cup C)) = ((A \cup B) \cup C).$

Fuzzy Logic

Monotonicity

$$y \le z$$
 implies
T4: $\top(x, y) \le \top(x, z)$
C4: $\bot(x, y) \le \bot(x, z)$





 $\top_{\min}(x,y) = \min(x,y)$



Fuzzy Logic



 $\perp_{\max}(x,y) = \max(x,y)$



35





$$\Gamma_{\mathsf{Luka}}(x,y) = \max\{0, x+y-1\}$$







Nilpotent Minimum and Maximum







Drastic Product and Sum

 $\top_{-1} \leq \top \leq \top_{\mathsf{min}}, \quad \bot_{\mathsf{max}} \leq \bot \leq \bot_{-1} \text{ for any } \top \text{ and } \bot$













t-conorm \perp_{Luka}





t-conorm \perp_{-1}





Genaralization

For $0 and <math>x, y \in [0, 1]$, define

$$T_p(x,y) = \max \left\{ 1 - ((1-x)^p + (1-y)^p)^{1/p}, \ 0 \right\}, \\ \bot_p(x,y) = \min \left\{ (x^p + y^p)^{1/p}, \ 1 \right\}.$$

Yager norm



Genaralization of norms

Fuzzy Logic



42

Fuzzy implications $(a \rightarrow b)$





44

Fuzzy implications $(a \rightarrow b)$

| $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$ | [ψ] | $[\![\varphi \to \psi]\!]$ |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |

crisp: $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B$, fuzzy: $x \in \mu \Rightarrow x \in \mu'$

 $I(a,b) = \neg a \lor b$ $I(a,b) = \bot(\sim a,b)$

 $I(a,b) = \max \{ x \in \{0,1\} \mid a \land x \le b \} \qquad I(a,b) = \sup \{ x \in [0,1] \mid \top(a,x) \le b \}$





Fuzzy implications $(a \rightarrow b)$

 $I(a,b) = \bot(\sim a,b)$ may also be written as either

$$I(a,b) = \neg a \lor (a \land b)$$
 or
 $I(a,b) = (\neg a \land \neg b) \lor b.$

S-Implications

Fuzzy logical extensions are thus, respectively,

$$I(a, b) = \bot(\sim a, \top(a, b)),$$

 $I(a, b) = \bot(\top(\sim a, \sim b), b)$ R-Implications




| Name | <i>I</i> (<i>a</i> , <i>b</i>) | $\perp(a,b)$ | | |
|---------------|--|---|--|--|
| Kleene-Dienes | $I_{\max}(a,b) = \max(1-a,b)$ max (a,b) | | | |
| Reichenbach | $I_{sum}(a,b) = 1 - a + ab$ | a + b – ab | | |
| Łukasiewicz | $I_{L}(a,b) = \min(1, 1-a+b)$ | $\min(1, a+b)$ | | |
| largest | $ig _{I_{-1}(a,b)} = egin{cases} b, & 	ext{if } a=1\ 1-a, & 	ext{if } b=0\ 1, & 	ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$ | $\begin{cases} b, & \text{if } a = 0 \\ a, & \text{if } b = 0 \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ | | |



R-Implications $(a \rightarrow b)$

| Name | Formula | op(a,b) = |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|
| Gödel | $\mathit{I}_{\min}(a,b) = egin{cases} 1, & 	ext{if } a \leq b \ b, & 	ext{if } a > b \end{cases}$ | min(<i>a</i> , <i>b</i>) |
| Goguen | $I_{ m prod}(a,b) = egin{cases} 1, & 	ext{if } a \leq b \ b/a, & 	ext{if } a > b \end{cases}$ | ab |
| Łukasiewicz | $I_{\texttt{L}}(a,b) = \min(1, \ 1-a+b)$ | $\max(0, a+b-1)$ |
| largest | $\mathit{I}_{L}(a,b) = egin{cases} b, & 	ext{if } a = 1 \ 1, & 	ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$ | not defined |



Linguistic variables

- Each linguistic variable is defined by quintuple (x, T(x), X, G, M)
 - name x of the variable
 - set T(x) of linguistic terms of x
 - $\blacksquare base variable X \subseteq IR$
 - syntactic rule G (grammar) for generating linguistic terms
 - semantic rule M that assigns meaning M(t) to every $t \in T$, i.e. $m: T \rightarrow F(X)$



Example of linguistic variables

- x = speed a linguistic variable with X = [0, 100]
- Term set T(x) of x
 - T(speed) = {VERY Slow, Slow, Moderate, Fast}
- G of generating the names (or the labels) of the elements in T(speed) is quite intuitive

Semantic rulse M

- M(slow) = the fuzzy set for «a speed below about 40 miles per hour (mph)» with membership function µ_{Slow}
- M(moderate) = the fuzzy set for a (speed close to 55 mph) with memberhip function µ_{Moderate}
- M(Fast) = the fuzzy set for a «a speed above about 70 mph» with memberhip function µ_{Fast}

Fuzzy Logic

Linguistic Data



Fuzzy Logic



Example of application

- Consider the problem to model the climatic conditions of several towns
 - A tourist may want information about tourist attractions
 - Assume that linguistic random samples are based on subjective observations of selected people, e.g.
 - climatic attribute clouding
 - linguistic values cloudless, clear, fair, cloudy, . . .





Example of application

Linguisti Modeling by an expert (Fuzzification)

The attribute *clouding* is modeled by elementary linguistic values, *e.g.*

cloudless \mapsto sigmoid(0, -0.07)clear \mapsto Gauss(25, 15)fair \mapsto Gauss(50, 20)cloudy \mapsto Gauss(75, 15)overcast \mapsto sigmoid(100, 0.07)exactly $)(x) \mapsto$ exact(x)approx $)(x) \mapsto$ Gauss(x, 3)between $(x, y) \mapsto$ rectangle(x, y)approx_between $(x, y) \mapsto$ trapezoid(x - 20, x, y, y + 20)

where $x, y \in [0, 100] \subset \mathbb{R}$.



Example of application

Linguistic Modeling by an expert (Fuzzification)





Fuzzy Logic

Supporto

Linguistic hedge

- Some popular linguistic hadge (modifier)
 - VERY in «VERY Young»
 - Concentration

$$\mu_{CON(A)}(x) = \left(\mu_A(x)\right)^2$$

Dilation

$$\mu_{DIL(A)}(x) = \left(\mu_A(x)\right)^{1/2}$$

Intensification

$$\mu_{INT(A)}(x) = \begin{cases} \left\{ 2(\mu_A(x))^2 & \mu_A(x) \in [0, 0.5] \\ 1 - 2(1 - \mu_A(x))^2 & otherwise \end{cases} \right\}$$





Crisp relation

$$R(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if and only if } (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in R, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Fuzzy Relation

- is a fuzzy set defined on tuples (x₁,..., x_n) that may have varying degrees of membership within the relation
- The membership grade indicates strength of the present relation between elements of the tuple



Fuzzy Relations

Cartesian Product

 $\mu_{A_1 \times \ldots \times A_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \top (\mu_{A_1}(x_1), \ldots, \mu_{A_n}(x_n))$

Membership function

2D

$\mu_{A\times B}(x,y)=\top\left[\mu_A(x),\ \mu_B(y)\right],\quad \forall x\in X,\ \forall y\in Y.$





Fuzzy Relations



Cartesian product $F(X \times Y)$ with t-norm = min



Fuzzy Logic



Fuzzy Relations

Projection

 $[R \downarrow \mathcal{Y}](\boldsymbol{y}) = \max_{\boldsymbol{x}\succ\boldsymbol{y}} R(\boldsymbol{x})$

| (x ₁ , | x ₂ , | x3) | $R(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ | $R_{12}(x_1, x_2)$ | $R_{13}(x_1, x_3)$ | $R_{23}(x_2, x_3)$ | $R_1(x_1)$ | $R_2(x_2)$ | $R_{3}(x_{3})$ |
|-------------------|------------------|-----|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

All possible projections





Projection

| (x ₁ , | <i>x</i> ₂ , | <i>x</i> 3) | $R(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ | $R_{12}(x_1, x_2)$ |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.9 | $\max[R(0,0,0), R(0,0,1), R(0,0,2)] = 0.9$ |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.2 | |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1.0 | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | $\max[R(0,1,0), R(0,1,1), R(0,1,2)] = 1.0$ |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.8 | |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.3 | $\max[R(1,0,0), R(1,0,1), R(1,0,2)] = 0.5$ |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | $\max[R(1,1,0), R(1,1,1), R(1,1,2)] = 1.0$ |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1.0 | |

Projection R₁₂





Binary Fuzzy Relations

- Binary Fuzzy Relations
 - R(X,Y)
- Standard composition

$$[P \circ Q](x,z) = \sup_{y \in Y} \min\{P(x,y), Q(y,z)\}, \quad \forall x \in X, \forall z \in Z$$

If Y is finite supe operator is replaced by max (max-min composition)

Associativity

 $[P(X,Y)] \circ Q(Y,Z)] \circ R(Z,W) = P(X,Y) \circ [Q(Y,Z) \circ R(Z,W)]$





max-min composition





Problem

- The three students are planning to take one of the courses based on their different preferences.
- By fuzzy relations we help them in their decision making

Serts

- X = {Peter, Mary, John}
- Y = {Theory, Application, Hardware, Programming}
- Z = {Fuzzy Theory, Fuzzy Controls, Neural Networks, Expert Systems}





| | Theory | Applica tion | Hardwa rer | Progra mming |
|-------|--------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Peter | 0.2 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Mary | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.5 |
| John | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1 |
| | | P(X,Y) | | |

| | FT | FC | NN | ES |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Theory | 1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Application | 0.2 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Hardware | 0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0 |
| Programming | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1 |



Fuzzy Logic

| | FT | FC | NN | ES |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Peter | 0.2 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Mary | 1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| John | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1 |

 $P(X,Y) \circ P(Y,Z)$



Consider the following fuzzy relations for airplanes:

- relation A between maximal speed and maximal height,
- relation B between maximal height and the type.

| Α | h_1 | h_2 | h_3 | B | t. | t, |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------|------------|----|
| <i>s</i> 1 | 1 | .2 | 0 | | - <u>-</u> | |
| 50 | .1 | 1 | 0 | <i>n</i> ₁ | | 0 |
| 02 Co | 0 | 1 | 1 | h_2 | .9 | 1 |
| 53 | 0 | I | T | h ₃ | 0 | .g |
| <i>S</i> 4 | 0 | .3 | 1 | | | |

Types of Airplanes (Speed, Height, Type)







 $A \circ B$ speed-type relation

 $(A \circ B)(s_4, t_2) = \max\{\min\{.3, 1\}, \min\{1, .9\}\}\$ = .9

Types of Airplanes (Speed, Height, Type)



BR on single set

An example of R(X, X) defined on $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Two different representation are shown below.

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | .7 | 0 | .3 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | .7 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | .9 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | .8 | .5 |





BR on single set

A crisp relation R(X, X) is called

- reflexive if and only if $\forall x \in X : (x, x) \in R$,
- symmetric if and only if $\forall x, y \in X : (x, y) \in R \leftrightarrow (y, x) \in R$,
- transitive if and only if (x, z) ∈ R whenever both (x, y) ∈ R and (y, z) ∈ R for at least one y ∈ X.







Properties of Fuzzy Relations

A fuzzy relation R(X, X) is called

- reflexive if and only if $\forall x \in X : R(x, x) = 1$,
- symmetric if and only if $\forall x, y \in X : R(x, y) = R(y, x)$,
- transitive if it satisfies

$$R(x,z) \geq \max_{y \in Y} \min\{R(x,y), R(y,z)\}, \quad \forall (x,z) \in X^2$$

A FB Relation that is reflexive, symmetric and transitive is called Fuzzy Equivalence Relation





- Rules of inference govern the deduction of a proposition q from a set of premises {p₁, p₂, ..., p_n}
- Four principal modes of fuzzy reasoning
 - Categorical reasoning
 - Qualitative reasoning
 - Syllogistic reasoning
 - Dispositional reasoning



IF-THEN rules in which the pre-conditions and consequences involve fuzzy or linguistic variables

> R₁: IF x is A₁ and y is B₁ THEN z is C₁ R₂: IF x is A₂ and y is B₂ THEN z is C₂

> R_1 : IF x is A_n and y is B_n THEN z is C_n





Fuzzy Control Systems

- Basic idea of FLC
 - incorporate the «expert experience» by fuzzy control rules rather than a complicated dynamic model



Parking a car backwards



Fuzzy Logic

Example of Fuzzy Controls

Balance an upright standing pole by moving its foot.

Lower end of pole can be moved unrestrained along horizontal axis.

Mass m at foot and mass M at head.

Influence of mass of shaft itself is negligible.

Determine force F (control variable) that is necessary to balance pole standing upright.

That is measurement of following output variables:

- angle θ of pole in relation to vertical axis,
- change of angle, *i.e.* triangular velocity $\dot{\theta} = \frac{d\theta}{dt}$.

Both should converge to zero.

Cartpole problem



Differential equation of cartpole problem:

 $(M+m)\sin^2\theta \cdot l \cdot \ddot{\theta} + m \cdot l \cdot \sin\theta \cos\theta \cdot \dot{\theta}^2 - (M+m) \cdot g \cdot \sin\theta = -F \cdot \cos\theta$ Compute F(t) such that $\theta(t)$ and $\dot{\theta}(t)$ converge towards zero quickly. Physical analysis demands knowledge about physical process.

Cartpole problem





Fuzzy partitioning

left fuzzy set:

$$\begin{split} \mu_1^{(1)} &: [a, b] \to [0, 1] \\ & x \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \leq x_1 \\ 1 - \min\{\varepsilon \cdot (x - x_1), \ 1\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mu_{p_1}^{(1)} &: [a, b] \to [0, 1] \\ & x \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_{p_1} \leq x \\ 1 - \min\{\varepsilon \cdot (x_{p_1} - x), 1\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$





Fuzzy partitioning

| | | | | 0 | | | |
|----|--|--|--------|--|---|--|---|
| | nb | nm | ns | az | ps | pm | pb |
| nb | | | ps | pb | | | |
| nm | | | | pm | | | |
| ns | nm | | ns | ps | | | |
| az | nb | nm | ns | az | ps | pm | pb |
| ps | | | | ns | ps | | pm |
| pm | | | | nm | | | |
| pb | | | | nb | ns | | |
| | nb nm ns az ps pm pb | nb nm ns nm az nb ps pm pb | nbnmnb | nbnmnsnbIIIpsnmIIInsnmInsInsaznbnmInspsIIIpmIIIpbIII | nbnmnsaznbIIpbnmIIpmnsnmIIsaznbnmnsazpsInbInmnsazpmInsInsInspbInbInbInb | nbnmnsazpsnbIpspbInmIIpmInsnmnspsIaznbnmnsazpspsIIIIpspmIIIInmnspbIIIInbns | nbnmnsazpspmnbIpspbIInmIIpmIInsnmnspsIIaznbnmnsazpspmpsIIIIIIpmIIIIIIpbIIIIII |

Α

19 rules for cartpole problem, often not necessary to determine all table entries *e.g.*





Fuzzy Controller







Fuzzy Controller

Fuzzification interface

- receives current input value (eventually maps it to suitable domain),
- converts input value into linguistic term or into fuzzy set.

Knowledge base (consists of data base and rule base)

- Data base contains information about boundaries, possible domain transformations, and fuzzy sets with corresponding linguistic terms.
- Rule base contains linguistic control rules.

Decision logic (represents processing unit)

computes output from measured input accord. to knowledge base.

Defuzzification interface (represents processing unit)

 determines crisp output value (and eventually maps it back to appropriate domain).

Fuzzy Logic



General schema

| Rule 1: | if X is M_1 , then Y is N_1 |
|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Rule 2: | if X is M_2 , then Y is N_2 |
| : | : |
| Rule r: | if X is M_r , then Y is N_r |
| Fact: | X is <i>M</i> ′ |
| Conclusion: | Y is N' |





Inference engine

This is the kernel of the FLC in modelling human decision making within the conceptual framework of FL and approximate resoning

Generalized modus ponens (categorical resoning)

Premise 1: IF x is A THEN y is B Premise 2: x is A'

Conclusion: y is B'





Inference engine

In general a fuzzy control rule (Premise 1) is a fuzzy relation which is expressed as a fuzzy implication

$$R = A \to B$$

conclusion can be obtained as

 $B' = A' \circ (A \to B)$




Approximate Reasoning



3 Fuzzy Rules





Inference (Mamdani)



Mamdani based inference



Approximate Reasoning



Mamdani controller



Defuzzification

Fuzzy Logic



Inference and defuzzification

Defuzzification - Max Criterion Method

Choose an arbitrary $y \in Y$ for which $\mu_{x_1,...,x_n}^{\text{output}}$ reaches the maximum membership value.

Advantages:

- Applicable for arbitrary fuzzy sets.
- Applicable for arbitrary domain Y (even for $Y \neq \mathbb{R}$).

Fuzzy Logic



Defuzzification - Mean of Maxima (MOM)

Preconditions:

- (i) Y is interval
- (ii) $Y_{Max} = \{y \in Y \mid \forall y' \in Y : \mu_{x_1,...,x_n}^{output}(y') \le \mu_{x_1,...,x_n}^{output}(y)\}$ is non-empty and measurable
- (iii) Y_{Max} is set of all $y \in Y$ such that $\mu_{x_1,...,x_n}^{output}$ is maximal

Crisp output value = mean value of Y_{Max} .

if Y_{Max} is finite: if Y_{Max} is infinite:

$$\eta = \frac{1}{|Y_{\mathsf{Max}}|} \sum_{y_i \in Y_{\mathsf{Max}}} y_i \qquad \qquad \eta = \frac{\int_{y \in Y_{\mathsf{Max}}} y \, dy}{\int_{y \in Y_{\mathsf{Max}}} dy}$$

MOM can lead to discontinuous control actions.



Defuzzification - Center of Gravity (COG)

Same preconditions as MOM method.

 $\eta = \text{center of gravity}/\text{area of } \mu_{x_1,...,x_n}^{\text{output}}$

If Y is finite, then

$$\eta = \frac{\sum_{y_i \in Y} y_i \cdot \mu_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{\text{output}}(y_i)}{\sum_{y_i \in Y} \mu_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{\text{output}}(y_i)}.$$

If Y is infinite, then

$$\eta = \frac{\int_{y \in Y} y \cdot \mu_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{\text{output}}(y) \, dy}{\int_{y \in Y} \mu_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{\text{output}}(y) \, dy}$$







Fuzzy Logic











Number of revolutions - dREV







Number of revolutions - gREV







Change of Current for Auxiliary Air Regulator - dAARCUR





| | | gREV | | | | | | |
|------|----|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | nb | nm | ns | az | ps | pm | pb |
| dREV | nb | ph | pb | pb | pm | pm | ps | ps |
| | nm | ph | pb | pm | pm | ps | ps | az |
| | ns | pb | pm | ps | ps | az | az | az |
| | az | ps | ps | az | az | az | nm | ns |
| | ps | az | az | az | ns | ns | nm | nb |
| | pm | az | ns | ns | ns | nb | nb | nh |
| | pb | ns | ns | nm | nb | nb | nb | nh |

Fuzzy Logic

If the deviation from the desired number of revolutions is negative small **and** the gradient is negative medium, **then** the change of the current for the auxiliary air regulation should be positive medium.



Rule Base



Performance Characteristics



Fuzzy Logic

Fuzzy Control

Difference to Mamdani controller:

- no fuzzy partition of output domain Y,
- controller rules R_1, \ldots, R_k are given by

$$R_r : \text{if } \xi_1 \text{ is } A_{i_{1,r}}^{(1)} \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } \xi_n \text{ is } A_{i_{n,r}}^{(n)}$$

then $\eta_r = f_r(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n),$

 $f_r: X_1 \times \ldots \times X_n \to Y.$

• Generally, f_r is linear, *i.e.* $f_r(x_1, ..., x_n) = a_0^{(r)} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{(r)} x_i$

Takagi-Sugeno controller

$$\eta = \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{k} \alpha_r \cdot f_r(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\sum_{r=1}^{k} \alpha_r}$$

Crisp output

Fuzzy Logic

Example



Takagi-Sugeno controller





Fuzzy data analysis

FUZZY Data Analysis

- Fuzzy Techniques for the analysis of (crisp) data
 Fuzzy Clustering
- FUZZY DATA Analysis
 - Analysis of Data in Form of Fuzzy Sets
 - Random Sets, Fuzzy Random Variables





Fuzzy Clustering

Unsupervised learning task

- goal is to divide the dataset such that both constraints hold
 - objects belonging to same cluster: as similar as possible
- objects belonging to different clusters: as dissimilar as possible

- The similarity is measured in terms of a distance function
 - The smaller the distance, the more similar two data tuples



Fuzzy Logic

Distance d

Definition

 $\begin{array}{ll} d: \mathbb{R}^{p} \times \mathbb{R}^{p} \to [0, \infty) \text{ is a distance function if } \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{p}:\\ \textbf{(i)} \quad d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y \qquad (\text{identity}),\\ \textbf{(ii)} \quad d(x, y) = d(y, x) \qquad (\text{symmetry}),\\ \textbf{(iii)} \quad d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \qquad (\text{triangle inequality}). \end{array}$

Minkowski family





Algorithms

Partitioning algorithms

- given c ∈ IN, find the best partition of data into c groups
- usually the number of (true) clusters is unknown
- must specify a c-value

Hierarchical techniques

- organize data in a nested sequence of groups
- must specify a cut threshold



Hard c-means

Objective function

$$d_{ij} = d(\boldsymbol{c}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j)$$

distance

$$u_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \boldsymbol{x}_j \in \Gamma_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 Partition matrix

Each data point is assegned exactly to one cluster and a every cluster must contain at least one data point

i=1 i=1

 $J_h(X, U_h, C) = \sum^c \sum^n u_{ij} d_{ij}^2$

$$\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}: \quad \sum_{i=1}^{c} u_{ij} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, c\}: \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ij} > 0$$



Fuzzy Logic

- Hard c-means minimizes J_h by alternating optimization (AO)
 - The parameters to optimize are split into 2 groups
 - One group is optimized holding other one fixed (and vice versa)
 - This is an iterative update scheme: repeated until convergence

Fuzzy Logic



Example of c-means



Example of c-means



Given a symmetric dataset with two clusters. Hard c-means assigns a crisp label to the data point in the middle.



Example of c-means



hard c-means







In the fuzzy partition it is associated with the membership vector (0.5, 0.5)T (which expresses the ambiguity of the assignment)





Fuzzy c-means

Objective function

$$d_{ij} = d(\boldsymbol{c}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j)$$

distance

$$J_f(X, U_h, C) = \sum_{i=1}^{C} \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{ij}^m d_{ij}^2$$

Subject to

m > 1 is called the fuzzifier

$$\sum_{i=1}^{c} u_{ij} = 1, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij} > 0, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$$





Optimization

J_f is alternately optimized

- optimize U for a fixed cluster parameters
- optimize C for a fixed membership degrees

Lagrange function to be minimized

$$L(X, U_f, C, \Lambda) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ij}^m d_{ij}^2}_{=J(X, U_f, C)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{c} u_{ij} \right)$$

Bezdek, 1981

 $u_{ij} = \frac{d_{ij}^{\frac{2}{1-m}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{c} d_{kj}^{\frac{2}{1-m}}}$

First step

 $\boldsymbol{c}_{i} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ij}^{m} \boldsymbol{x}_{j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{ij}^{m}}$

Second step

Example of fuzzy c-means



Fuzzy c-Means



Iris data set

- Data integration by fuzzy similarity-based hierarchical clustering
- multi-view integration methodology for identifying patient subgroups from different omics information
 e.g., Gene Expression, Mirna Expression, Methylation
- For each view, a dendrogram is obtained by using a hierarchical clustering based on a fuzzy equivalence relation with Łukasiewicz valued fuzzy similarity
 - https://bmcbioinformatics.biomedcentral.com/articles/ 10.1186/s12859-020-03567-6
 - Multi-Omics-Cancer-Benchmark GitHub repository

Ciaramella et al. BMC Bioinformatics 2020, 21(Suppl 10):350 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-020-03567-6







Workflow of the fuzzy based hierarchical clustering



Algorithm 1: Min-transitive closure

- 1: Input: relation S_i
- 2: **Output:** transitive relation $\mathbf{C}_i = \mathbf{S}_i^T$
- 3: Elaborate:
 - 1. Compute $\mathbf{S}_i^* = \mathbf{S}_i \cup (\mathbf{S}_i \circ \mathbf{S}_i)$
 - 2. if $\mathbf{S}_i^* \neq \mathbf{S}_i$ replace \mathbf{S}_i with \mathbf{S}_i^* and go to step 1
 - else $C_i = S_i^T = S_i^*$ and the algorithm terminates.

Algorithm 2: Combination of dendrograms

- 1: Input C_i , $1 \le i \le LL$ input similarity matrices (dendrograms)
- 2: Output similarity matrix (dendrogram) A
 - 1. Aggregate the similarity matrices to a final similarity matrix
 - $\mathbf{A} = Aggregate\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}, \mathbf{C}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{C}_{L}\right)$
 - a. Let A* be the identity matrix
 - b. For each C_i calculate e $A^* = A^* \cup (A^* \circ C_i)$
 - c. If A^* is not changed $A = A^*$ and goto step 3 else goto step 1.b
- 3: Create the final dendrogram from A





Data Integration approach



Crisp Hierarchical Clustering vs Fuzzy based Hierarchical Clustering





Fig. 7 Performance of the algorithms on ten multi-omics cancer datasets. For each plot, the x-axis measures the differential survival between clusters(-log10 of logrank's test *P*-value), and the y-axis is the number of clinical parameters enriched in the clusters. Red vertical lines indicate the threshold for significantly different survival (*P*-value ≤ 0.05)





Fig. 9 Summarized performance of the algorithms across ten cancer datasets. For each plot, the x-axis measures the total differential prognosis between clusters (sum across all datasets of –log10 of logrank's test *P*-value), and the y-axis is the total number of clinical parameters enriched in the clusters across all cancer types. (**a**–**c**) Results for single-omic datasets. **d** Results when each method uses the single omic that achieves the highest significance in survival. **e** Same with respect to enrichment of clinical labels

Fuzzy Logic
ANFIS



Adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system







Fuzzy Relation Neural Network Model

FRNN







Granulation







Some results











Memberships



Residum

Norm generalization



t-norms and t-conorms



Chromosome







Parameters of Ordinal Sums

Neuron generalization



Zimmermann and Zysno data set



FRNN inference system



AND/OR neuron based on OS





Uninorm



Fuzzy Logic



Structured data





Fuzzy Logic