UNIVERSITÀ PARTHENOPE
DiST
Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie

Machine Learning (part II)

Hebbian Learning and Component Analysis

Angelo Ciaramella

Hebbian learning and NNs

- NNs based on the Hebb’s rule
  - Oja’s rule
    - computer scientist Erkki Oja
    - Unsupervised learning
    - Symmetric Oja Space
  - Sanger’s rule
    - scientist Terence D. Sanger
    - Unsupervised learning
    - Selective Principal Components
  - Generates an algorithm for
    - Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
    - non-linear PCA
    - Independent Component Analaysis (ICA)

Principal Component Analysis

- Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a statistical technique
  - Dimensionality reduction
  - Lossy data compression
  - Feature extraction
  - Data visualization
- It is also known as the *Karhunen-Loeve* transform
- PCA can be defined as the principal subspace such that the variance of the projected data is maximized

Second-Order methods

- The second-order methods are the most popular methods to find a linear transformation
- This methods find the representation using only the information contained in the covariance matrix of the data vector **x**
- PCA is widely used in signal processing, statistics, and neural computing

Principal Components



In a linear projection down to one dimension, the optimum choice of projection, in the sense of minimizing the sum-of-squares error, is obtained first subtracting off the mean of the data set, and then projecting onto the first eigenvector $\mathbf{u}_1$ of the covariance matrix.

Projection error minimization

- We introduce a complete orthonormal set of *D*-dimensional basis vectors (i=1,…,*D*)

$$\mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{u}_j = \delta_{ij}$$

- Because this basis is complete, each data point can be represented by a linear combination of the basis vectors

$$\mathbf{x}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \alpha_{ni} \mathbf{u}_i$$

Projection error minimization

- We can write also that

$$\mathbf{x}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \left(\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_i\right) \mathbf{u}_i \quad \leftarrow \quad \alpha_{nj} = \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{u}_j$$

- Our goal is to approximate this data point using a representation involving a restricted number $M < D$ of variables corresponding to a projection onto a lower-dimensional subspace

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{M} z_{ni} \mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{i=M+1}^{D} b_i \mathbf{u}_i$$

Projection error minimization

- As our distortion measure we shall use the squared distance between the original point and its approximation averaged over the data set so that our goal is to minimize

$$J = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \mathbf{x}_n - \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_n \right\|^2$$

- The general solution is obtained by choosing the basis to be eigenvectors of the covariance matrix given by

$$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i$$

Projection error minimization

- The corresponding value of the distortion measure is then given by

$$J = \sum_{i=M+1}^{D} \lambda_i$$

- We minimize this error selecting the eigenvectors defining the principal subspace are those corresponding to the M largest eigenvalues

Complex distributions



A linear dimensionality reduce technique, such as PCA, is unable to detect the lower dimensionality. In this case PCA gives two eigenvectors with equal eigenvalues. The data can described by a single eigenvalue

Addition of a small level of noise to data having an intrinsic. Dimensionality to 1 can increase its intrinsic dimensionality to 2. The data can be represented to a good approximation by a single variable η and can be regarded as having an intrinsic dimensionality of 1.



Unsupervised Neural Networks

- Typically Hebbian type learning rules are used

- There are two type of NN able to extract the Principal Components:

  - Symmetric (Oja, 1989)

  - Hierarchical (Sanger, 1989)

Information and Hebbian Learning

- Information extraction



$$y = \sum_i w_i x_i$$

Hebbian learning - self-amplification

$$\Delta w_i = \eta y x_i$$

the net learns to respond the patterns that present the most frequent samples

Principal Component

- Weights can grow to infinity
  - Solution – normalization (no - local)

$$w_i = \frac{w_i}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$$

  - Competition mechanism for a stable solution
    - weights in the direction of maximum variance of the distribution
    - Maximization of the variance on the oputput
    - weights in the direction of the eigenvector corresponding to the maximum eigenvalue of the correlation matrix

$$C = \langle \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \rangle_{\mu}$$

Oja's rule

- Idea



Information feedback

Oja's rule

- Normalization is not local
- Oia’s rule

$$\Delta w_j = \eta\left(x_j - w_j y\right)$$

Forgetting factor

- More outputs

$$\Delta w_{ij} = \eta y_i \left(x_j - \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{kj} y_k\right)$$

Syemmetric NN

Single layer Neural Network



Symmetric PCA NN

$$E\left[\mathbf{y}^2\right] = E\left[\left(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}\right)^2\right]$$

Objective function

Sanger's rule

- Sanger's learning rule

$$\Delta w_{ij} = \eta y_i \left(x_j - \sum_{k=1}^{i} w_{kj} y_k \right)$$

Hierarchical NN

Single layer Neural Network



Hierarchical PCA NN

Oja's rule vs. Sanger's rule

- Oja's rule
  - Symmetric Space
  - Principal Components without a specific order

- Sanger's rule
  - Hierarchical space
  - Principal Components without a specific order
    - weights of the first output neuron corresponding to the first component, weights of the second neuron to the second residual component, and so on

Mixing matrix



Non-linear objective function

Maximization

$$\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{w}\ \text{(weights)}} E\left\{f\left(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}\right)\right\}$$

L-dimensional vector

where E is the expectation with respect to the (unknown) density of $\mathbf{x}$ and $f(.)$ is a continue function (e.g. ln cosh(.))

Taylor series

$$\ln\cosh(y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 - \frac{1}{12}y^4 + \frac{1}{45}y^6 + O(y^8)$$

$$E\{\ln\cosh(y)\} = \tfrac{1}{2}E\left\{(w^T x)^2\right\} - \tfrac{1}{12}E\left\{(w^T x)^4\right\} + \tfrac{1}{45}E\left\{(w^T x)^6\right\} + E\left\{O((w^T x)^2)\right\}$$

$$C = I \quad \text{and} \quad \tfrac{1}{2}E\left\{\left(w^T x\right)^2\right\} = \tfrac{1}{2}$$

$$-\frac{1}{12}E\left\{\left(w^T x\right)^4\right\}$$

That is dominating, and the kurtosis is optimized

Robust and non-linear PCA

Standard PCA

$$E\left[y^2\right] = E\left[\left(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}\right)^2\right]$$

Robust PCA

$$J_1(\mathbf{w}) = E\left[f\left(\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{w}\right)\right] + \sum_{j=1}^{I(i)} \lambda_{ij}\left[\mathbf{w}_i^T\mathbf{w}_j - \delta_{ij}\right]$$

$$\mathbf{w}(k+1) = \mathbf{w}(k) + \mu_k g(\mathbf{y}_i(k)) e_i(k)$$

$$J_2(e_i) = 1^T E\left[f(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i)\right]$$

$$\mathbf{w}(k+1) = \mathbf{w}(k) + \mu_k \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_i(k)^T g(e_i(k))\mathbf{x}_k + \\ + \mathbf{x}_k^T \mathbf{w}_i(k) g(e_k(i)) \end{pmatrix}$$

Nonlinear PCA

$$E\left[\left|b_i(k)\right|^2\right]$$

$$b_i(k) = \mathbf{x}_k - \sum_{j=1}^{I(i)} g\left(\mathbf{y}_j(k)\right)\mathbf{w}_j(k)$$

$$\mathbf{w}_i(k+1) = \mathbf{w}_i(k) + \mu g\left(\mathbf{y}_i(k)\right) b_i(k)$$

$$e(k) = \mathbf{x}_k - \sum_{j=1}^{I(i)} \mathbf{y}_j(k)\mathbf{w}_j(k)$$

Descendent gradient algorithm

Cocktail party



Sources Mixtures Estimated-Sources

s **A** **x** **W** **y**

Source estimation



Source signals



Mixed signals



Estimated signals

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= a_{11}s_1(t) + a_{12}s_2(t) + a_{13}s_3(t) \\ x_2(t) &= a_{21}s_1(t) + a_{22}s_2(t) + a_{23}s_3(t) \\ x_3(t) &= a_{31}s_1(t) + a_{32}s_2(t) + a_{33}s_3(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(t) &= w_{11}x_1(t) + w_{12}x_2(t) + w_{13}x_3(t) \\ y_2(t) &= w_{21}x_1(t) + w_{22}x_2(t) + w_{23}x_3(t) \\ y_3(t) &= w_{31}x_1(t) + w_{32}x_2(t) + w_{33}x_3(t) \end{aligned}$$

x_1(t), $x_2(t)$,$x_3(t)$ are the observed signals, $s_1(t)$, $s_2(t)$, $s_3(t)$ the source signals

y_1(t), $y_2(t)$,$y_3(t)$ are the separated signals