

Machine Learning (part II)

Sampling Methods

Angelo Ciaramella

Introduction

- Why sampling?
 - approximate many sums and integrals
 - gradient of the log partition function of an undirected model
 - train a model that can sample from the training distribution



Monte Carlo Sampling

- When a sum or an integral cannot be computed exactly
 - approximate it using Monte Carlo sampling

Suppose

$$s = \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} p(\boldsymbol{x}) f(\boldsymbol{x}) = E_p[f(\mathbf{x})]$$

$$s = \int p(\boldsymbol{x}) f(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} = E_p[f(\mathbf{x})]$$





Monte Carlo Sampling

Drawing *n* samples $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}$

$$\hat{s}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x^{(i)})$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{s}_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[f(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})] \stackrel{\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n s = s$$

Unbiased

$$\lim_{n o \infty} \hat{s}_n = s$$
 for the law of large number $oldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$ i.i.d

ML – Sampling Methods



Monte Carlo Sampling

Variance

 $\operatorname{Var}[f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})] < \infty$

$$\operatorname{Var}[\hat{s}_n] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Var}[f(\mathbf{x})]$$
$$= \frac{\operatorname{Var}[f(\mathbf{x})]}{n}.$$

Central limit theorem converges to a normal distribution s mean \hat{s}_n converge $\underline{Var[f(\mathbf{x})]}$ variance n





Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods

Markov chain

- Updating state x
- Random state x and transition distribution T(x' | x)
- T(x' | x) probability that a random update will go to state x' if it starts in state x

- Run infinitely many Markov chains in parallel
 - States drawn from some distribution q^(†)(x)
 - Goal q^(t)(x) converging to p(x)

$$q^{(t+1)}(x') = \sum_{x} q^{(t)}(x)T(x' \mid x)$$





Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods

Transition operator

$$A_{i,j} = T(\mathbf{x}' = i \mid \mathbf{x} = j)$$

over all the different Markov chains run in parallel shifts "burning in" the Markov chain

$$m{v}^{(t)} = m{A}m{v}^{(t-1)} \qquad m{v}^{(t)} = m{A}^tm{v}^{(0)}$$

columns of A (stochastic matrix) represents a probability distribution

$$oldsymbol{v}^{(t)} = ig(oldsymbol{V} \mathrm{diag}(oldsymbol{\lambda})oldsymbol{V}^{-1}ig)^t oldsymbol{v}^{(0)} = oldsymbol{V} \mathrm{diag}(oldsymbol{\lambda})^t oldsymbol{V}^{-1} oldsymbol{v}^{(0)}$$

A is guaranteed to have only one eigenvector with eigenvalue 1



Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods

Convergence

$$oldsymbol{v}'=oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{v}=oldsymbol{v}$$
 Eigenvector equation

If we have chosen T correctly, then the stationary distribution q will be equal to the distribution p we wish to sample from



Sampling

- Two basic approaches
 - derive T from a given learned p_{model}
 - directly parametrize T and learn it
 - its stationary distribution implicitly defines the p_{model} of interest

- Commonly use of Markov chains
 - draw samples from an energy-based model defining a distribution p_{model} (x)
 - we want the q(x) for the Markov chain to be $p_{model}(x)$
 - To obtain the desired q(x), we must choose an appropriate T(x' | x)



Gibbs sampling

Special case of the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

Markov chain

- samples from p_{model}(x)
- T (x' | x) is accomplished by selecting one variable x_i and sampling it from p_{model} conditioned on its neighbors in the undirected graph G defining the structure of the energy-based model



Distribution from which we wish to sample

$$p(\mathbf{z}) = p(z_1,\ldots,z_M)$$

- Each step of the Gibbs sampling procedure
 - replacing the value of one of the variables by a value drawn from the distribution of that variable conditioned on the values of the remaining variables



Gibbs sampling

Gibbs Sampling

1. Initialize $\{z_i : i = 1, ..., M\}$ 2. For $\tau = 1, ..., T$: - Sample $z_1^{(\tau+1)} \sim p(z_1 | z_2^{(\tau)}, z_3^{(\tau)}, \dots, z_M^{(\tau)}).$ - Sample $z_2^{(\tau+1)} \sim p(z_2 | z_1^{(\tau+1)}, z_2^{(\tau)}, \dots, z_M^{(\tau)}).$ - Sample $z_i^{(\tau+1)} \sim p(z_j | z_1^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, z_{i-1}^{(\tau+1)}, z_{i+1}^{(\tau)}, \dots, z_M^{(\tau)}).$ - Sample $z_M^{(\tau+1)} \sim p(z_M | z_1^{(\tau+1)}, z_2^{(\tau+1)}, \dots, z_M^{(\tau+1)}).$ $A(\mathbf{z}^{\star}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{z}^{\star})q_k(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{z}^{\star})}{p(\mathbf{z})q_k(\mathbf{z}^{\star}|\mathbf{z})} = \frac{p(z_k^{\star}|\mathbf{z}_{\backslash k}^{\star})p(\mathbf{z}_{\backslash k})p(z_k|\mathbf{z}_{\backslash k})}{p(z_k|\mathbf{z}_{\backslash k})p(\mathbf{z}_{\backslash k})p(z_k^{\star}|\mathbf{z}_{\backslash k})} = 1$



Sampling Methods

ï

ž

Intractable partition functions

Valid probability distribution

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Partition function Z

$$\int \widetilde{p}(oldsymbol{x}) doldsymbol{x}$$

$$\sum_{x} \tilde{p}(x).$$

This operation is intractable for many interesting models



Intractable partition functions

Normalized probability distribution

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Techniques used for training and evaluating models
 - Log-Likelihood Gradient
 - Stochastic Maximum Likelihood
 - Markov Chain Monte-Carlo sampling
 - Contrastive Divergence (CD)
 - Pseudolikelihood
 - Score Matching and Ratio Matching
 - Noise-Contrastive Estimation
 - Annealed Importance Sampling
 - Bridge Sampling



Gradient of the likelihood

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

basis for a variety of Monte Carlo methods for approximately maximizing the likelihood of models with intractable partition functions

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log Z = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x})} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})$$

burning in a set of Markov chains from a random initialization

ML – Sampling Methods

MCMC

Algorithm 18.1 A naive MCMC algorithm for maximizing the log-likelihood with an intractable partition function using gradient ascent.

Set ϵ , the step size, to a small positive number.

Set k, the number of Gibbs steps, high enough to allow burn in. Perhaps 100 to train an RBM on a small image patch.

while not converged do

Sample a minibatch of m examples $\{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{(m)}\}$ from the training set.

$$\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Initialize a set of m samples $\{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}, \ldots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(m)}\}$ to random values (e.g., from a uniform or normal distribution, or possibly a distribution with marginals matched to the model's marginals).

for
$$i = 1$$
 to κ do
for $j = 1$ to m do
 $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(j)} \leftarrow \text{gibbs_update}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(j)}).$
end for
end for
 $\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}).$
 $\boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} + \epsilon \mathbf{g}.$
end while

ML – Sampling Methods

Contrastive Divergence

Algorithm 18.2 The contrastive divergence algorithm, using gradient ascent as the optimization procedure.

```
Set \epsilon, the step size, to a small positive number.
Set k, the number of Gibbs steps, high enough to allow a Markov chain sampling
from p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) to mix when initialized from p_{\text{data}}. Perhaps 1-20 to train an RBM
on a small image patch.
while not converged do
   Sample a minibatch of m examples \{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{(m)}\} from the training set.
   \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}).
   for i = 1 to m do
       \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^{(i)}
   end for
   for i = 1 to k do
        for j = 1 to m do
           \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(j)} \leftarrow \text{gibbs update}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(j)}).
        end for
   end for
   \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}).
   \theta \leftarrow \theta + \epsilon \mathbf{g}.
```

end while



$$\begin{split} L(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{2} ||\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \log p_{\text{model}}(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \log p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})||_{2}^{2} \\ J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})} L(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} &= \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{split}$$



