Machine Learning (part II)

Foundations
of
Machine Learning

Angelo Ciaramella

Artificial Intelligence

- Inventors have long dreamed of creating machines that think

  - Pygmalion, Daedalus, and Hephaestus may all be interpreted as legendary inventors

  - Galatea, Talos, and Pandora may all be regarded as artificial life

- Artificial Intelligence (AI)

  - intelligence demonstrated by machines, in contrast to the natural intelligence displayed by humans

 - many practical applications and active research topics

Machine Learning

- ## Knowledge base

    - projects have sought to hard-code knowledge about the world in formal languages

    - logical inference rules

- ## Machine Learning (ML)

    - systems need the ability to acquire their own knowledge

    - extracting patterns from raw data

    - allowed computers to tackle problems involving knowledge of the real world

    - make decisions that appear subjective

Data representation

- Representation

  - performance of machine learning algorithms depends heavily on the representation of the data

  - information included in the representation is known as a feature

Representation learning

- **Representation**

  - for many tasks, it is difficult to know what features should be extracted

    - e.g., program to detect cars in photographs

  - solution

    - use machine learning to discover not only the mapping from representation to output but also the representation itself (representation learning)

    - the quintessential example of a representation learning algorithm is the autoencoder

# Deep learning

- **Deep Learning (DL)**

  - solves representation learning by introducing representations that are expressed in terms of other simpler representations

  - the quintessential example of a deep learning model is the feedforward deep network or MultiLayer Perceptron (MLP)

    - mathematical function mapping some set of input values to output values

# DL and MLP

Information representation of a DL model

AI diagram

Deep learning

Example: MLPs

Example: Shallow autoencoders

Example: Logistic regression

Example: Knowledge bases

Representation learning

Machine learning

AI

Venn diagram

AI systems

Flowcharts of AI systems

		Output	
			Mapping from features
	Output	Output	Additional layers of more abstract features
Output	Mapping from features	Mapping from features	
Hand-designed program	Hand-designed features	Features	Simple features
Input	Input	Input	Input
Rule-based systems	Classic machine learning	Representation learning	
			Deep learning

Historical trends

- ## Historical trends in DL
  - DL dates back to the 1940s

- ## Three waves of development
  - 1940s - 1960s cybernetics
  - 1980s - 1990s connectionism
  - trom 2006 deep learning

- ## Earliest learning algorithms
  - computational models of biological learning
  - Artificial Neural Networks
  - today learning frameworks are not necessarily neurally inspired

ML – Foundations of ML

Historical trends

Historical waves of artificial neural nets research

First model

- ## McCulloch-Pitts Neuron

  - Warren Sturgis McCulloch and Walter Harry Pitts
  - 1943 – Article titled: *A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity*
  - early model of brain function
  - the linear model could recognize two different categories of inputs
  - the weights needed to be set correctly by the human operator

McCulloch and Pitts research

A LOGICAL CALCULUS OF THE IDEAS IMMANENT IN NERVOUS ACTIVITY*

■ WARREN S. McCULLOCH AND WALTER PITTS
University of Illinois, College of Medicine,
Department of Psychiatry at the Illinois Neuropsychiatric Institute,
University of Chicago, Chicago, U.S.A.

Because of the "all-or-none" character of nervous activity, neural events and the relations among them can be treated by means of propositional logic. It is found that the behavior of every net can be described in these terms, with the addition of more complicated logical means for nets containing circles; and that for any logical expression satisfying certain conditions, one can find a net behaving in the fashion it describes. It is shown that many particular choices among possible neurophysiological assumptions are equivalent, in the sense that for every net behaving under one assumption, there exists another net which behaves under the other and gives the same results, although perhaps not in the same time. Various applications of the calculus are discussed.

1. Introduction. Theoretical neurophysiology rests on certain cardinal assumptions. The nervous system is a net of neurons, each having a soma and an axon. Their adjunctions, or synapses, are always between the axon of one neuron and the soma of another. At any instant a neuron has some threshold, which excitation must exceed to initiate an impulse. This, except for the fact and the time of its occurence, is determined by the neuron, not by the excitation. From the point of excitation the impulse is propagated to all parts of the neuron. The velocity along the axon varies directly with its diameter, from <1 ms^{-1} in thin axons, which are usually short, to >150 ms^{-1} in thick axons, which are usually long. The time for axonal conduction is consequently of little importance in determining the time of arrival of impulses at points unequally remote from the same source. Excitation across synapses occurs predominantly from axonal terminations to somata. It is still a moot point whether this depends upon irreciprocity of individual synapses or merely upon prevalent anatomical configurations. To suppose the latter requires no hypothesis *ad hoc* and explains known exceptions, but any assumption as to cause is compatible with the calculus to come. No case is known in which excitation through a single synapse has elicited a nervous impulse in any neuron, whereas any neuron may be excited by impulses arriving at a sufficient number of neighboring synapses within the period of latent addition, which lasts <0.25 ms. Observed temporal summation of impulses at greater intervals

* Reprinted from the *Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*, Vol. 5, pp. 115–133 (1943).

99

Figure 1. The neuron c_i is always marked with the numeral i upon the body of the cell, and the corresponding action is denoted by "N" with i's subscript, as in the text:

(a) $N_2(t) . \equiv . N_1(t-1)$;

(b) $N_3(t) . \equiv . N_1(t-1) \text{ v } N_2(t-1)$;

(c) $N_3(t) . \equiv . N_1(t-1) . N_2(t-1)$;

(d) $N_3(t) . \equiv N_1(t-1) . \sim N_2(t-1)$;

(e) $N_3(t) : \equiv : N_1(t-1) . \text{v} . N_2(t-3) . \sim N_2(t-2)$;
$N_4(t) . \equiv . N_2(t-2) . N_2(t-1)$;

(f) $N_4(t) : \equiv : \sim N_1(t-1) . N_2(t-1) \text{ v } N_3(t-1) . \text{v} . N_1(t-1) . N_2(t-1) . N_3(t-1)$
$N_4(t) : \equiv : \sim N_1(t-2) . N_2(t-2) \text{ v } N_3(t-2) . \text{v} . N_1(t-2) . N_2(t-2) . N_3(t-2)$;

(g) $N_3(t) . \equiv . N_2(t-2) . \sim N_1(t-3)$;

(h) $N_2(t) . \equiv . N_1(t-1) . N_1(t-2)$;

(i) $N_3(t) : \equiv : N_2(t-1) . \text{v} . N_1(t-1) . (Ex)t-1 . N_1(x) . N_2(x)$.

13

McCulloch and Pitts linear model

output

Y

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{3} w_i \, x_i$$

weights

w_1 w_2 w_3

x_1 x_2 x_3 [0,1]

inputs

14

McCulloch and Pitts model

Output response (threshold)

$$T \geq 0 \rightarrow 1$$
$$T < 0 \rightarrow 0$$

Learning

- **Hebbian theory**
  - **Donald O. Hebb** was a Canadian psychologist
  - First learning hypotheses
  - 1949 – Book titled: *The organization of behavior*
  - *links to complex brain models have been proposed*
    - *Hebbian learning - Hebb's rule*

The perceptron

- **Connessionism and learning**
  - **Frank Rosenblatt** introduced the perceptron
  - 1957 – Article titled: *The Perceptron - a perceiving and recognizing automaton*
  - system consists of binary activations
  - a variable threshold value is used
  - perceptron learn the weights defining the categories given examples of inputs from each category

The perceptron

$$\theta = \begin{cases} 1 & if \;\; f(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}) > 0 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

output

Y

$$y = f(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \theta(\sum_{i=1}^{3} w_i \, x_i)$$

weights

w_1 w_2 w_3

$$\boldsymbol{w} = [w_1, w_2, w_3]$$

x_1 x_2 x_3

$$\boldsymbol{x} = [x_1, x_2, x_3]$$

[0,1]

inputs

Delta rule

- **Learning approach**

  - Bernard Widrow and Ted Hoff

  - 1960 – Article titled: *Adaptive Switching Circuits*

  - Delta rule

    - gradient descent learning rule for updating the weights of the inputs to artificial neurons in a single-layer neural network

  - Adaptive filters

    - Adaline - Adaptive Linear Neuron

Learning and generalization

- **MultiLayer Perceptron (MLP) and learning**
  - Paul Werbos
  - 1974 - generalization of delta rule could be used for MLP
    - doctoral dissertation

- **Backpropagation and recognition**
  - David E. Rumelhart, Geoffrey E. Hinton, Ronald J. Williams
    - 1986 – Article titled: Learning representations by back-propagating errors
  - James McClelland
  - Connectionism - large number of simple computational units can achieve intelligent behavior when networked together
    - distributed representation

Deep learning

- Deep Neural Netorks

  - Geoffrey Hinton

  - 2006 - efficiently trained a deep belief network using a strategy called greedy layer-wise pretraining

  - train deeper neural networks focusing attention on the *theoretical importance of depth*

MNIST dataset

MNIST dataset of scans of handwritten numbers

Growing datasets

Increasing dataset over time

Growing connections

Number of connections per neuron over time

Growing neurons

Number of neurons over time

Deep Learning and challenge

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge

Deep learning

- **Companies using DL**
  - Google, Microsoft, Facebook, IBM, Baidu, Apple, Adobe, Netflix, NVIDIA and NEC

- **Software libraries**
  - Scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011)
  - Theano (Bergstra et al., 2010; Bastien et al., 2012)
  - PyLearn2 (Goodfellow et al., 2013)
  - Torch (Collobert et al., 2011),
  - DistBelief (Dean et al., 2012)
  - Caffe (Jia, 2013)
  - MXNet (Chen et al., 2015)
  - Keras (Chollet et al., 2015)
  - TensorFlow (Abadi et al., 2015)

ML – Foundations of ML

Machine learning

■ Machine Learning (ML) is the science (and art) of programming computers so they can learn from data

■ The examples that the system uses to learn are called the training set (experience)

A computer program is said to learn from experience E with respect to some task T and some performance measure P, if its performance on T, as measured by P, improves with experience E.

—Tom Mitchell, 1997

Machine learning

Traditional approach

Machine learning

Machine Learning approach

Machine learning

Automatically adapting to change

Machine learning

ML can help humans learn (data mining)

Types of ML systems

- **Learning systems**

  - *Supervised*

    - The training set you feed to the algorithm includes desidered soultions called label (e.g., target)

  - *Unsupervised*

    - The training set you feed to the algorithm is unlabeled

Types of ML systems

- **target**
  - *class*
    - e.g., spam or ham
    - classification
  - *numeric value*
    - e.g., price of a car
    - predictiors and the task is regression

- **features**
  - attribute with a value
  - Age (attribute) – 20 (value)

Supervised learning

A labelled training set for supervised learning (e.g., spam classification)

Supervised learning

Regression

Supervised algorithms

- **Supervised learning algorithms**
    - *K-Nearest Neighbors*
    - *Linear regression*
    - *Logistic regression*
    - *Support Vector Machines*
    - *Decision Trees and Random Forests*
    - *Neural Networks*

ML – Foundations of ML

Unsupervised learning

Training set

Unlabeled training set for unsupervised learning

Unsupervised algorithms

- Clustering
  - *K-Means*
  - *Fuzzy C-Means*
  - *DBSCAN*
  - *Hierarchical Cluster Analysis*

- Anomaly detection and novelty detection
  - *One-class SVM*
  - *Isolation Forest*

- *Visualization and dimensionality reduction*
  - *Self Organizing Maps*
  - *Isomap*
  - *Principal Component Analysis (PCA)*
 - *Kernel PCA*
  - *Locally-Linear Embedding (LLE)*

Unsupervised learning

Clustering

Unsupervised learning

Clustering and visualization

Unsupervised learning

Anomaly detection

# Reinforcement learning

- Agent

  - can observe the environment

  - select and perform actions

  - get rewards in return

    - penalties in the form of negative rewards

- Examples

  - DeepMind's AlphaGo program

# Reinforcement learning

Reinforcement learning strategy

On-line learning

On-line learning to handle huge datasets

Istance-based learning

Instance-based learning

# Model-based learning

Model-based learning

Data

- Feature engineering

  - Feature selection

  - Feature extraction

- Data generalizzation

  - Overfitting

  - Underfitting

Overfitting

Overfitting of the data (regularization should be used)

Overfitting

Using regularization for avoiding overfitting of the data

Data mismatch

- ## Data

  - It is easy to get a large amount of data for training bit it is not perfectly representative of the data that will be used in production

- ## No Free Lunch Theorem

  - David Wolpert

  - 1996 – Article titled: The lack of *a priori* distinctions between learning algorithms

  - *If you make absolutely no assumption about the data, then there is no reason to prefer one model oevr any other*